

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/074,220	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUMAMOTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sally A. Sakelaris	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sally A. Sakelaris.

(2) Steve Highlander.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 September 2005

**Time:** 2:30PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph*

**Claims discussed:**

*10, 11, 16, and 17*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner asked for permission to delete the recitation of "nucleotide" in the dependent claims per the 112 1st paragraph rejection's requirements. Mr Highlander agreed that the amendment should be made. The change was made as a supplemental examiner's amendment..